

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,152	12/16/2005	Yukio Nagasaki	0171-1250PUS1	9582
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 01/20/201 ART KOLASCH & BI		EXAM	INER
PO BOX 747		LISTVOYB, GREGORY		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/561,152	NAGASAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GREGORY LISTVOYB	1765	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

W	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Citerations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1 130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled three SX (b) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
:	It No period for reply is appending above, then maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, above the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication above the maximum statutory period p
Status	3
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2010.
2a)	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispo	sition of Claims
4)[
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	☑ Claim(s) 3-15 is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applic	cation Papers
9)	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)	☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachr	nent(s)

1)	Notice

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Drafts; erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/25/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamciuc et al (Compared properties of fluorinated heterocyclic copolyimides, Journal of Macromolecular Sci, Part A, v37, Issue 11, October 2000, pages 1407-1435, see Abstract and Search report p. 47-48) herein Hamciuc or Hamciuc et al (New silicon containing phenylquinoxaline-imide polymers, High performance polymers (2002), 14(1), pp 63-75, see Search report p.40) herein Hamciuc-2 in view of Korshak et al

Application/Control Number: 10/561,152

Art Unit: 1765

(Polyamidophenylchinoxaline, Acta polymerica34(1983), pp 213-215) herein Korshak. (all cited in the previous Office Action).

Hamciuc teaches fluorinated heterocyclic copolyimides have been synthesized by a polycondensation reaction of a diacid chloride containing imide,

hexafluoroisopropylidene and methylene groups with aromatic or heteroaromatic diamines containing preformed phenylquinoxaline or 1,3,4-oxadiazole rings (see Abstract).

Regarding Claim 3, Hamciuc teaches polymer with Molecular Weight within the range of 12800-26700.

Regarding Claim 4, Hamciuc-2 teaches a new polyimides with phenylquinoxaline rings (see Abstract and Search report p. 40).

In reference to Claim 5, Hamciuc -2 has more than 1% mol of phenylquinoxaline rings (see Search report, page 40).

Regarding Claims 6-8, Hamciuc -2 teaches phenyl groups in aromatic tetracarboxylic acid dianhydride (see Search report, page 40).

Art Unit: 1765

Regarding claims 9-13, Hamciuc -2 teaches fluorescent film with maximum fluorescent range of 415-425 nm (see Search report, p.40).

Hamciuc or Hamciuc -2 does not teach a polyamic acid and polyimide based on a diamine of formula (1). Instead the reference teaches a diamine of the following formula (2) (see Search report, p.47-48):

The difference between the diamine above and the diamine claimed is that the Hamciuc's material has two additional Aryl ether units.

Korshak teaches the following compound (see Scheme 1):

Where Y is direct bond (see page 213) and Ar and Ph are benzene rings.

The above compound represents an isomer of a diamine used by the Applicant:

Application/Control Number: 10/561,152

Art Unit: 1765

where R1 and R2 are Hydrogens.

The difference between two above structures is that the amino groups in the Application are present at the ortho-position, whereas in Korshak's disclosure it is at meta-position with respect to the position of the nitrogen atoms in the quinoxaline moiety.

However, both compounds are structural isomers.

In accordance to MPEP 2144.09 the structural analogs are *prima facie* obvious in the absence of showing unexpected results.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to interchangeably use Korshak's and Applicant's diamines, since they are structural analogs.

Korshak teaches diamine, structurally analogous to one of the Application. The advantage of Korshak's diamine over Hamciuc's one is that it provides polymer with higher Tg due to higher stiffness of the diamine (Ph-O link provides more mobility of the diamine molecule). Therefore, polyimides based on Korshak's diamine provide higher

Application/Control Number: 10/561,152

Art Unit: 1765

modulus, tensile strength and broader temperature range, which is useful for applications at elevated temperatures.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use Korshak's diamine in Hamciuc's copolyimide in order to achieve higher modulus, tensile strength and broader temperature range, which is useful for the applications at elevated temperatures.

In reference to claims 14 and 15, Hamciuc or Hamciuc -2 or Korshak does not teach R1 and R2 where R1 and R2 each independently denotes a C1-20 alkyl group, C1-20 alkoxyl group, or C1-20 fluoroalkyl group.

In a case law (see *re Lohr* (CCPA 1963) 317F2D 38, 137 USPQ 548) related to a similar substitution, replacement of two Hydrogen groups to methyl groups was decided unpatentable, since unexpected results due to the above substitution were not shown.

Therefore, it would have been obviously to a person of ordinary skills in the art to interchangeably use methyl substituted or unsubstituted polyimide and polyimide precursor unless unexpected results due to the above substitution were shown.

In reference to the new limitations to claims 3-5 and 8, Korshak discloses unsubstituted diamine (i.e. R1 and R2 are Hydrogens)

Application/Control Number: 10/561,152 Page 7

Art Unit: 1765

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/25/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant submits that "As demonstrated in Table 4 of Applicants' specification, the polyimides provided by Applicants' invention emit intense fluorescence.

Furthermore, a thin film formed from the inventive polyimide emits white light."

However, Applicant does not compare the data of Table 4 with the closest prior art (Hamciuc), which is necessary for demonstration of the unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,152 Page 8

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GL /GREGORY LISTVOYB/ Examiner, Art Unit 1765